

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	x
Section 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Planning Area Description	1
1.2 Planning Approach	1
1.3 Stakeholder Involvement	3
1.3.1 Introduction	3
1.3.2 Local Advisory Committee	3
1.3.3 Public Meetings	6
1.4 Organization of Report	6
Section 2 Current Watershed Conditions and Major Stressors	8
2.1 Data Sources	8
2.2 Planning Area Characteristics	8
2.2.1 Overview	8
2.2.2 Sub-Watersheds and Topographic Zones	9
2.2.3 Land Use and Impervious Cover	11
2.3 Watershed Functional Condition	12
2.4 Major Issues and Impacts	15
2.4.1 Aquatic Communities and Habitat	15
2.4.2 Stressors and Pollution Sources	17
Section 3 Future Threats to Watershed Function	23
3.1 Background on Development Impacts	23
3.2 Approach	24
3.3 Expected Changes in Land Use	25
3.4 Impact of Land Use Change on Impervious Area	25
3.5 Effects of Land Use Change on Pollutant Loads	28
3.6 Potential Impacts of Local Management Policies	30
3.6.1 Introduction	30
3.6.2 Results	31
Section 4 Overview of Recommended Strategies	36
4.1 Developing a Framework for Watershed Management	36
4.2 Recommended Strategies	36
Section 5 Identification of Focus Areas	38
5.1 Approach	38
5.2 Focus Areas for Watershed Improvement Efforts	38
5.2.1 Addressing Common Watershed Problems	38
5.2.2 Unique Areas	41
5.3 Focus Areas for Preservation Activities	41
5.3.1 Background	41
5.3.2 Recommended Focus Areas	42
Section 6 Strategies to Address Causes of Existing Degradation	43
6.1 Channel Modification and Lack of Riparian Vegetation	43
6.1.1 General Considerations	43
6.1.2 Recommended Strategies	44
6.1.3 Potential NCEEP Project Sites	44

6.2 Measures to Address Sources of Sediment, Nutrients and Fecal Coliform Bacteria	48
6.2.1 General Considerations	48
6.2.2 Strategies for Agricultural Sources	48
6.2.3 Strategies for Existing Developed Areas	49
6.2.4 Strategies for New Construction and Disturbed Areas	49
6.2.5 Strategies for Roads	50
6.2.6 Other Recommendations	50
6.3 Stormwater Issues in the Peachtree Area	51
6.4 Impacts from Mission Quarry	52
6.5 Groundwater Contamination	52
Section 7 Preservation Strategies and Priorities	54
7.1 Background	54
7.2 Preservation Recommendations	54
7.3 General Preservation Priorities	55
7.3.1 Tract Identification	55
7.3.2 Priority Tracts for Preservation	55
7.4 Potential NCEEP Project Sites	57
7.5 Management of Forested Lands	59
Section 8 Strategies to Mitigate Future Development Impacts	60
8.1 Introduction	60
8.2 Recommendations	61
Section 9 References Cited	65
Appendices	
A. Supplemental Tables and Maps	
B. Modeling of Future Development Impacts	

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Sub-Watersheds in the Peachtree-Martins Creek Planning Area	9
Table 2.2	Summary of Sub-Watershed Functional Ratings	13
Table 2.3	Sub-Watershed Functional Condition in the Peachtree-Martins Creek Planning Area	13
Table 2.4	Summary of Fecal Coliform Bacteria Data Collected in 2007	22
Table 3.1	Annual Pollutant Loads for 2010 and 2015 Base Scenarios	30
Table 3.2	Synopsis of Management Strategy Results	32
Table 3.3	Calhoun-Mission Branch Load Changes with Sewer Line Extension	35
Table 4.1	Summary of Proposed Management Strategies	37
Table 5.1	Features of Middle Martins Creek and Lower Slow Creek Focus Areas	39
Table 6.1	Summary Information for Potential NCEEP Stream Projects	46
Table 7.1	Potential NCEEP Preservation Sites	57

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Location of Peachtree-Martins Creek Planning Area	2
Figure 2.1	Sub-Watersheds in the Peachtree-Martins Creek Planning Area	10
Figure 2.2	Land Use/Land Cover in the Peachtree Martins Creek Area, 2005	11
Figure 2.3	Tiers Representing Levels of Ecological Function, by Sub-Watershed	14
Figure 2.4	NC Division of Water Quality Biological Community Sampling Sites and NC Wildlife Resources Commission Survey Sites	16
Figure 2.5	Condition of Riparian Areas in Low Gradient Vs. High Gradient Zones	19
Figure 2.6	Distribution of Total Sediment Load by Source	19
Figure 2.7	Sites Monitored by NCDWQ for Fecal Coliform Bacteria in 2007	21
Figure 3.1	Expected Changes in Land Use for the Peachtree-Martins Creek Planning area, 2005-2015	26
Figure 3.2	Extent of New Development from 2005-2015, by Sub-Watershed	26
Figure 3.3	Projected Percent of Impervious Cover (2015) and Extent of Change in Impervious Cover (2005-2015)	27
Figure 3.4	Location of Harshaw Branch Drainage	29
Figure 3.5	Estimated Percent Reduction in Total Suspended Solids Loads from Management Strategies	33
Figure 3.6	Estimated Percent Reduction in Total Phosphorus Loads from Management Strategies	33
Figure 3.7	Estimated Percent Reduction in Total Nitrogen Loads from Management Strategies	34
Figure 3.8	Estimated Percent Reduction in Pollutant Loads from Multiple Management Strategies	34
Figure 5.1	Recommended Focus Areas for Management Activities	40
Figure 6.1	Location of Potential NCEEP Stream Project Sites	47
Figure 6.2	Location of Potential Stormwater Retrofit Sites on the Tri-County Community College Campus	53
Figure 7.1	Potential Preservation Tracts with at Least Fifty Acres of Forest Cover	56
Figure 7.2	Potential NCEEP Preservation Sites	58